

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/587,634	06/05/2000	Willy De Meyer	5023	7726
25280 MILLIKEN &	25280 7590 03/23/2007 MILLIKEN & COMPANY		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1926			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
SPARTANBURG, SC 29303		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/587,634	DE MEYER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	or election requirement. er.	≣xaminer.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 2,718,684 to **Bjorksten** in view of U.S. 4,668,319 to **Piccoli**.

The patent to **Bjorksten** discloses a pipelaying method and apparatus including a transportation device (2), a pipe forming device including a die (6) that receives extruded material from at least one extrusion material supply device (4). Pipe (7) of thermoplastic synthetic resin is continually extruded from the die as the device moves along the trench. The digging of the trench may be accomplished by a plow member or trench digger mounted on the extruder device. **Bjorksten** does not disclose two dies nor does it disclose a reinforcing textile supply and guide. The patent to **Piccoli** discloses a method of manufacturing a reinforced hose including a first die (52) for extruding the inner hose (51), followed by a reinforcing braider (53) to add the reinforcing layer, and a second extruding die (54) which provides the outer cover layer. Piccoli discloses that it is known to provide two dies and a wire braider in order

Application/Control Number: 09/587,634

Art Unit: 3754

Page 3

to produce a pipe having an inner layer, a braided layer and a cover layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide to the apparatus of **Bjorksten** a second die and a reinforcing textile supply as suggested by **Piccoli** in order to form a strong, but flexible multilayer hose.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Idoine, Coulter et al., Kasiewicz, and Corre et al. are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing devices for both making and laying pipelines in trenches dug by the device also.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin P. Shaver** can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson March 18, 2007